

Summary of Charter School Renewal

Odyssey Charter School (Grades K-8)

- School Finances

- Annual Audited Financial Report
- Quarterly Financial Reports
- Year Ending Governmental Balances

Comments:

- Student Academic Performance

- Annual Report to DOE – Student Performance Objectives
- FCAT Performance
- Student Performance – Annual Learning Gains
- School Grade
- AYP
- School Improvement Plan

Comments:

Odyssey was determined to be a “high performing” charter school pursuant to §1002.331, F.S. in July 2011.

III. Contract Compliance

- Timely Submission of Documents
- Annual Site Visits - Due to limited resources, the District has not conducted annual site visits in recent years.
- Other

Recommended for a Renewal Contract Yes No



Vicki L. Mace, Director of School Choice



Date

I. **School Overview**

Projections and Enrollment

Enrollment Cap Per Current Charter Contract – 730 students

School Year	Actual Membership Oct FTE	Free and Reduced Lunch %	Minority Rate %
2007	522	36	35
2008	544	40	40
2009	550	47	38
2010	565	50	40
2011	623	52	44

The school does use a lottery waiting list to select students for attendance when needed.

Source: Brevard Public Schools’ Student Membership Analysis – Actual October FTE

2010-2011 Student Composition

The following table provides information on the composition of the student population at the school.

Racial Ethnic Group	Number of Students Enrolled in October		School Percentage	District Percentage	State Percentage
	Female	Male			
WHITE	164	158	57.0	64.4	43.2
BLACK	45	46	16.1	14.1	22.9
HISPANIC/LATINO	56	53	19.3	12.4	27.9
ASIAN	3	1	0.7	1.9	2.5
PACIFIC ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0.1
AM.INDIAN	0	0	0	0.2	0.4
MULTIRACIAL	16	23	6.9	6.8	3.0
DISABLED	17	53	12.4	16.6	13.7
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	148	150	52.7	41.6	56.0
ELL	16	26	7.4	3.6	11.7
MIGRANT	0	0	0	0	0.5
FEMALE	284				
MALE	281				
TOTAL	565				

Source: NCLB School Public Accountability Report (Department of Education)

School Finances

Total Governmental Fund Balances

2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
\$1,484,698	\$896,199	\$555,746	\$1,080,009	\$1,218,999

2010-2011 Annual Audited Financial Report Findings

No findings reported.

2. Student Academic Performance

School Grades and AYP

Year	School Grade	AYP
2006-2007	A	100%
2007-2008	A	100%
2008-2009	A	95%
2009-2010	A	100%
2010-2011	B	74%

Readiness to Start School

	# of Students and Where They Placed	School %	District %	State %
ECHOS Ready	53	91	93	90
ECHOS Not Ready	5	9	7	10
Total ECHOS	58	100	100	100
FAIR Ready	44	79	77	68
FAIR Not Ready	12	21	23	32
Total FAIR	56	100	100	100

Source: NCLB School Public Accountability Report (Department of Education)

FCAT Testing

Percentage of Students Proficient

Reading and Math

	2006-2007		2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011	
	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math
Grade 3	70	66	79	79	70	67	76	89	82	92
Grade 4	74	74	79	81	85	85	72	82	87	69
Grade 5	85	79	88	79	79	67	79	67	69	65
Grade 6	77	88	71	58	64	62	73	59	71	56
Grade 7	74	70	74	80	89	76	80	82	69	78
Grade 8	63	67	57	77	66	71	79	82	60	81

	2006-2007		2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011	
District	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math
Grade 3	78	81	81	84	79	82	79	81	80	82
Grade 4	78	77	80	79	85	81	80	80	78	78
Grade 5	82	71	78	72	80	70	80	70	76	70
Grade 6	78	69	80	74	82	74	81	76	82	79
Grade 7	72	71	76	72	79	73	80	74	79	72
Grade 8	61	76	64	78	67	78	67	79	66	77
State	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math	Rdg	Math
Grade 3	69	74	73	77	72	78	73	79	73	78
Grade 4	69	69	70	71	75	76	72	75	72	75
Grade 5	72	59	68	62	72	63	70	64	70	64
Grade 6	62	51	64	53	67	56	68	58	68	58
Grade 7	63	60	65	61	68	61	69	62	69	63
Grade 8	49	63	54	67	55	67	56	69	56	69

Source: NCLB School PublicAccountability Report (Department of Education)

Writing – All Students

	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011
Odyssey	98	92	98	96	98
District	94	94	95	95	96
State	93	92	94	95	96

Science- All Students

	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011
Odyssey	No Data Reported	No Data Reported	48	56	55
District			59	59	60
State			43	44	47

Note: Green Highlight – Indicates the charter school scored above the District percentage

3. Teachers and Staff

2010-2011 New Staff

Type	Total Number	Number Newly Hired	School % of Newly Hired	District % of Newly Hired	State % of Newly Hired
Instructional	39	6	15.4	17.6	18.9
School-Based Admin	3	1	33.3	13.2	23.2
Total	42	7	16.7	17.4	19.1

Source: NCLB School PublicAccountability Report (Department of Education)

2010-2011 Professional Qualifications of Teachers

Degree Level

Degree Level	Number	School %		District %		State %	
		2009-2010	2010-2011	2009-2010	2010-2011	2009-2010	2010-2011
Bachelor's Degree	22	65.7	62.9	65.4	63.9	65.3	65.2
Master's Degree	12	34.3	34.3	32.8	34.3	32.1	32.2
Specialist Degree	0	0	0	0.8	0.7	1.6	1.7
Doctorate	0	0	2.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total All Degrees	35	100	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: NCLB School Public Accountability Report (Department of Education)

2010-2011 In-Field and Out-of-Field Teachers

	School %	District %	State %
Percentage of Classes w/Teachers In-Field	96.5	99.0	95.4
Percentage of Classes w/Teachers Out-of-Field	3.5	1.0	4.6

Source: NCLB School Public Accountability Report (Department of Education)

2010-2011 Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers – Combined All Year

	School %	District %			State %		
		All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2.8	1.3	2.1	1.4	5.0	5.5	4.3

Source: NCLB School Public Accountability Report (Department of Education)

- High poverty schools are schools ranking in the top 25% of schools based on the percentage of students eligible for free/reduced –price lunch.
- Low poverty schools rank in bottom quartile of schools based on free/reduced-price lunch enrollment.

4. **School Renewal Packet**

Please refer to the Renewal Packet submitted by the charter school for additional information.